Majority Leader John Thune Minority Leader Chuck Schumer The Honorable Members of the United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

## Re: Opposition to Federal Preemption of State Al Laws

Dear Senator Thune, Senator Schumer, and Honorable Members of the U.S. Senate,

We, the undersigned organizations committed to protecting civil rights, promoting consumer protections, and fostering responsible innovation, write to express our strong opposition to a provision in the reconciliation bill passed out of the House on May 22 that would preempt state and local laws governing artificial intelligence (AI), and the revised version that has been circulated to the Senate parliamentarian for purposes of the Byrd rule. Bluntly, there is no version of this AI moratorium that makes it acceptable.

As we wrote in our previous letter to <u>members of the House</u>, the scope of Section 43201(c) "Artificial Intelligence and Information Technology Modernization Initiative: Moratorium" is sweeping. As AI systems increasingly shape critical aspects of Americans' lives—including hiring, housing, healthcare, policing, and financial services—states have taken important steps to protect their residents from the risks posed by unregulated or inadequately governed AI technologies. As we have learned during other periods of rapid technological advancement, like the industrial revolution and the creation of the automobile, protecting people from being harmed by new technologies, including by holding companies accountable when they cause harm, ultimately spurs innovation and adoption of new technologies. In other words, we will only reap the benefits of AI if people have a reason to trust it.

The Senate's draft bill effectively conditions receipt of Broadband Equity Access and Deployment (BEAD) program funding on states' acceptance of the moratorium. The threat to that critical funding makes the provision worse rather than better. The provision has nothing to do with broadband and everything to do with eliminating states' ability to put any guardrails around AI through budgetary blackmail.

This total immunity provision blocks enforcement of all state and local legislation governing AI systems, AI models, or automated decision systems for a full decade, despite those states moving those protections through their legislative processes, which include input from stakeholders, hearings, and multistakeholder deliberations. This moratorium would mean that even if a company deliberately designs an algorithm that causes foreseeable harm — regardless of how intentional or egregious the misconduct or how devastating the consequences — the company making or using that bad tech would be unaccountable to lawmakers and the public. In many cases, it would make it virtually impossible to achieve a level

of transparency into the AI system necessary for state regulators to even enforce laws of general applicability, such as tort or antidiscrimination law.

Despite how little is publicly known about how many AI systems work, harms from those systems are already well-documented, and states are acting to mitigate those harms. Many state laws are designed to prevent harms like algorithmic discrimination and to ensure recourse when automated systems harm individuals. For example, there are many documented cases of AI having highly sexualized conversations with minors<sup>1</sup> and even encouraging minors to commit harm to themselves and others<sup>2</sup>; AI programs making healthcare decisions that have led to adverse and biased outcomes;<sup>3</sup> and AI enabling thousands of women and girls to be victimized by nonconsensual deepfakes.<sup>4</sup>

Congress's inability to enact comprehensive legislation enshrining AI protections leaves millions of Americans more vulnerable to existing threats described above such as discrimination and all of us exposed to the unpredictable safety risks posed by this nascent industry. Fortunately, states across the nation, on a bipartisan basis, have pioneered thoughtful frameworks that promote transparency, accountability, safety, and fairness in AI deployment. Two-thirds of states, including Kentucky, Ohio, North Dakota and New Jersey have considered common-sense laws that address gaps in federal protections and are crafted in close dialogue with impacted communities. Those states are filling the need for substantive policy debate over how to safely advance development of this technology.

Federal preemption would invalidate key state laws that protect against "high impact" AI, which is contrary to the Trump administration's executive memo<sup>5</sup> aiming to protect consumers from AI harms in employment, lending, education, and beyond. Protections for civil rights and children's privacy, transparency in consumer-facing chatbots to prevent fraud, and other safeguards would be invalidated, even those that are uncontroversial. The resulting unfettered abuses of AI or automated decision systems could run the gamut from pocketbook harms to working families like decisions on rental prices, to serious violations of ordinary Americans' civil rights, and even to large-scale threats like aiding in cyber attacks on critical infrastructure or the production of biological weapons.

No person, no matter their politics, wants to live in a world where AI makes life-or-death decisions without accountability. This is underscored by the fact that state attorneys general, from all 50 states, have warned Congress<sup>6</sup> that AI has been used to harm children including through child sexual abuse material. Further, many state attorneys general have also shown interest in pursuing enforcement actions based on the laws in their own states, which would be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.pcmag.com/news/meta-ai-capable-of-having-sexual-conversations-with-minors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.npr.org/2024/12/10/nx-s1-5222574/kids-character-ai-lawsuit

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.axios.com/2023/12/20/ai-bias-diagnosis

<sup>4</sup> https://www.cnn.com/2024/11/12/tech/ai-deepfake-porn-advice-terms-of-service-wellness

<sup>5</sup>https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/M-25-21-Accelerating-Federal-Use-of-Al-through-Innovation-Governance-and-Public-Trust.pdf

<sup>6</sup> https://www.naag.org/press-releases/54-attorneys-general-call-on-congress-to-study-ai-and-its-harmful-e ffects-on-children/

barred by this preemption language. This includes state attorneys general from Alabama,<sup>7</sup> California,<sup>8</sup> New Jersey,<sup>9</sup> Oregon,<sup>10</sup> Massachusetts,<sup>11</sup> Texas,<sup>12</sup> and Pennsylvania<sup>13</sup> among others.

Section 43201(c) is not the only provision in this package that is of concern to our organizations, and there are some provisions on which we will undoubtedly disagree with each other. However, when it comes to this provision, we are united. You must reject this sweeping proposal. Americans deserve both meaningful federal protections and the ability of their states to lead in advancing safety, fairness, and accountability when AI systems cause harm.

Sincerely,

**Demand Progress** 

32 Branding Co.

350.org

AASA, The School Superintendents Association

Access Now

Actors' Equity Association

AFM Local 7

**AFT** 

Al Procurement Lab

Alphabet Workers Union - CWA Local 9009

Amazon Employees for Climate Justice

American Composers Forum

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>Z</sup>https://www.alabamaag.gov/attorney-general-marshall-urges-congress-to-ban-china-based-ai-platform-depseek-on-government-devices/

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-bonta-issues-legal-advisories-application-california-law-ai</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>https://www.njoag.gov/attorney-general-platkin-and-division-on-civil-rights-announce-new-guidance-on-al gorithmic-discrimination-creation-of-civil-rights-innovation-lab/

<sup>10</sup> https://www.doj.state.or.us/media-home/news-media-releases/ag-rosenblum-issues-guidance-on-ai-for-orgon-businesses/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>https://www.mass.gov/news/ag-campbell-issues-advisory-providing-guidance-on-how-state-consumer-protection-and-other-laws-apply-to-artificial-intelligence

<sup>12</sup> https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/news/releases/attorney-general-ken-paxton-launches-investigations-characterai-reddit-instagram-discord-and-other

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>https://www.attorneygeneral.gov/taking-action/ag-henry-leads-26-states-in-urging-federal-authorities-to-restrict-usage-of-artificial-intelligence-in-marketing-phone-calls/

American Economic Liberties Project

American Federation of Musicians

American Sustainable Business Council

Americans for Financial Reform

Americans for Responsible Innovation (ARI)

Artrain

ArtsKC - Regional Arts Council

Asian Americans Advancing Justice | AAJC

Association of Educational Service Agencies

Autistic Self Advocacy Network (ASAN)

**Brennan Center for Justice** 

California Initiative for Technology and Democracy (CITED)

California Nurses Association

National Nurses United

California School Employees Association

Center for American Progress Action Fund

Center for Democracy & Technology

Center for Economic and Policy Research

Center for Inclusive Change

Center for Responsible Lending

Center on Policy Initiatives

Children Now

Children's Advocacy Institute, University of San Diego School of Law

Clearinghouse on Women's Issues

Climate and Community Institute Colorado Center on Law and Policy Common Sense Media Community Change Action Connecticut Voices for Children Consumer Action Consumer Federation of America Costume Designers Guild Courage California Cultural Advocacy Network of Michigan Dance/USA Data & Society Disability Rights Education and Defense Fund (DREDF) Distributed AI Research Institute Economic Policy Institute **Economic Security Project Action** Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC) Encode Al Fair Vote UK **Feminist Majority Foundation** Fight for the Future **FPWA** Free Press Action

Friends of the Earth US

**Future of Music Coalition** Green Web Foundation Greenpeace USA **Groundwork Collaborative** HTTP Innocence Project Institute for Local Self-Reliance Institute for Strategic Dialogue Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility International Federation of Professional and Technical Engineers (IFPTE) Investor Alliance for Human Rights Kapor Center LatinoProsperity Lawyers for Good Government Legal Momentum, The Women's Legal Defense and Education Fund **Local Progress** Long Beach Alliance for Clean Energy Los Angeles Alliance for a New Economy (LAANE) Maurice and Jane Sugar Law Center for Economic & Social Justice Mozilla **National Action Network** National Association of Consumer Advocates National Consumer Law Center, on behalf of its low-income clients National Domestic Workers Alliance

National Employment Law Project

National Employment Lawyers Association

National Fair Housing Alliance

National Guild for Community Arts Education

National Health Law Program

National Immigration Law Center

National Institute for Workers' Rights

National Music Council of the United States

National Nurses United

National Organization for Women

National Organization for Women (CA NOW)

National Partnership for Women & Families

National Union of Healthcare Workers

National Women's Law Center

**NCNW** 

New Jersey Policy Perspective

North Alabama Area Labor Council

Oregon Consumer Justice

Outten & Golden LLP

P Street

People Power United

PowerSwitch Action

Privacy Rights Clearinghouse

Public Citizen

Public Knowledge

S.T.O.P. - The Surveillance Technology Oversight Project

SEIU California

Service Employees International Union (SEIU)

Silicon Valley Rising Action

Stand.earth

Take on Wall Street

Teamsters Local 70

Tech Justice Law Project

The Tech Oversight Project

TechEquity

The Bell Policy Center

The Center on Privacy & Technology at Georgetown Law

The Freedom BLOC

The Greenlining Institute

The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights

The Southern Poverty Law Center

The Value Alliance

The Workers Lab

**Towards Justice** 

**Transparency Coalition** 

U.S. Public Interest Research Group (PIRG)

**UFCW Western States Council** 

Ujima, The National Center on Violence Against Women in the Black Community

UltraViolet

UnidosUS

United Church of Christ Media Justice Ministry

United Musicians and Allied Workers (UMAW)

University of California, Santa Cruz - Center for Labor and Community

University of Essex

Warehouse Worker Resource Center

William E. Morris Institute for Justice

Working Partnerships USA

Workplace Justice Project

X-Lab

Young People's Alliance